## A New Spectrophotometric System Based on Sirius

Kevin Krisciunas Nick Suntzeff

Bethany Kelarek Kyle Bonar Joshua Stenzel The fundamental photometric standard is Vega. But it is slightly variable and it has a circumstellar shell.

Sirius is easier to model.

Nick Suntzeff obtained spectra of ~20 very bright stars in January of 2003 and January 2005 with the CTIO 1.5-m telescope and its grating spectrometer RCSPEC (2.81 A/px resolution in the blue, 5.43 A/px in the red). He used a 7.5 magnitude neutral density filter to keep from saturating the chip.

We can use these spectra to establish a new fundamental system of spectrophotometry based on Sirius.

Raw spectra were 1274 by 140 pixels. Each star was observed at four slit positions, each subsequent one 30 arcsec further down the slit.





Middle spectrum is based on 18 spectra in the blue and 16 in the red.

They are stitched together at 6000 A. Spectra of other stars are multiplied by the bottom function to place them on the system of the Sirius model. In the spectra that follow some the emission and absorption details are spurious, such as the P Cygni profiles in the hot giants and supergiants.

As Armin Rest pointed out, one has to match the resolution of the Sirius model spectrum to that of the spectra, before the spectral flattening.

I will get to this in May/June 2016.







11 stars were observed only with the blue grating on January 6, 2003.







Now as a sanity check, let's use the Bessell (1990) filter specifications and do synthetic photometry on the spectra.







Synthetic B magnitudes vs. those from the Bright Star Catalogue.



Synthetic V magnitudes vs. those from the Bright Star Catalogue.



Now, the R-band magnitudes from Simbad indicate that their bandpass is quite a bit to the red of the Bessell R-band filter specification.





We need to find the Kron-Cousins R and I mags of these stars. Should we use a different Kurucz model for Sirius?

The Kurucz model(s) give vacuum wavelengths. Our He-Ar wavelength calibrations use wavelengths in air. Should we scale the Kurucz wavelengths before making the flux function?

How to reconcile an anomaly like  $\alpha$  Vir? Are the values given by the Bright Star Catalogue or Simbad wrong? Was there a passing cloud? Was the dome occulting part of the primary mirror? Are the exposure times in the FITS headers wrong? Or is it variable to a greater degree than previously known?