# Early light curves of SNe la and ASASSN-14lp

#### Tony Piro (Carnegie) CSP Meeting, July 30, 2014

# Motivation

What can we learn about SNe progenitors?

- Are all SNe Ia from M<sub>Ch</sub> or a variety of white dwarf masses?
- What are the companion stars that donate mass?
- What is the nature of the explosive burning?
- What are the underlying causes for their diversity and various classes (91T, 91bg, etc)?

# Early observations can provide unique information for answering these questions.

## **Transient observations**

This is an ideal time for making these early observations:

- Palomar Transient Factor (PTF)
- Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT)
- All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN)
- Carnegie Supernova
  Project (CSP)



## Probing an Exploding Star with Thermal Diffusion

Photons reach the surface on a "thermal diffusion time"

# What do we hope to actually measure?

Three main sources of emission:

- 1. Cooling of shock-heated white dwarf
- 2. Interaction of the ejecta with the companion
- 3. Radioactive heating from <sup>56</sup>Ni

## **Shock-Heated Surface Layers**

The first optical emission from SNe is shock cooling

Luminosity proportional to initial radius

 $\frac{R_0c}{\kappa}\frac{E}{M}$ 

 $\kappa$ 





Luminosity is proportional to progenitor radius!

# Interaction with Companion

absolute magnitude

Supernova ejecta slams into companion

Creates a funnel of hot emission

Emission roughly scales proportional to to the companion radius with a strong directional dependence (see Kasen 2010)



## Rising Light Curve of SN 2011fe

Bloom et al. (2011) ApJL 744 17

 No detection of cooling from shock heating

• Exploding star's radius is less than 2.2 R<sub>Earth</sub>

• First direct evidence that Type la SNe come from white dwarfs!



#### The importance of the explosion time

• Even without a clear shock detection, we would like to make constraints

• But constraints depend strongly on the **explosion time** 

• What are the best ways to constrain the explosion time?



### What about a t<sup>2</sup> rise?

Attempts have been made to estimate the explosion time by assuming a t<sup>2</sup> rise.

#### **Problems:**

• t<sup>2</sup> is not generally expected theoretically (Piro 2012)

 $L \propto \Delta M_{\text{diff}} X_{56} \propto t^{2(1+1/n)/(1+1/n+\beta)} X_{56}$  $L \propto t^{1.8} X_{56}$ 

- t<sup>2</sup> in a single band means bolometric certainly can't be t<sup>2</sup>!
- Bolometric light curves (e.g., 2011fe, Piro & Nakar 2014) are not t<sup>2</sup>

Maybe just fit arbitrary power law?

## Using the velocity evolution

• For accelerating shock, the photophere evolves as

 $v_{\rm ph} \propto t^{-0.22}$  • Fitting to power-law constrains the explosion time

 Unfortunately, powerlaw index is model dependent and cannot be fit independently Piro & Nakar (2014)



Explosion time within ~0.5 days of estimate from light curve

## ASASSN-14lp

#### Shappee, Piro, et al. (2015)

SN Ia with early photometry and spectroscopy

Explosion time estimated by both extrapolating light curve and velocities

Explosion time estimates different by ~2 days!



### Companion constraints for 14lp

Uncertainties in explosion time motivate considering a range of explosion times

Companion **unlikely** to be a red super giant unless poor viewing angle

What does explosion time discrepancy mean? (also seen for 09ig, but not for 11fe and 12cg)



#### SuperNova Explosion Code (SNEC)

- 1D Lagrangian hydrodynamics
- Explosions triggered with a thermal bomb or piston
- Hydrodynamics and radiative diffusion solved together
- Thermodynamic equilibrium
- Gray opacity using OPAL and includes partial ionization
- Follows gamma-ray diffusion from <sup>56</sup>Ni



- Generates both bolometric LCs and specific bands
- Relatively fast which is useful for numerical experiments OPEN SOURCE! http://stellarcollapse.org/snec

#### http://stellarcollapse.org/snec



#### Varying the <sup>56</sup>Ni distribution



Shallow <sup>56</sup>Ni

• Steep early light curve

 Less of a "dark phase" (Piro & Nakar 2013)

#### Impact on photospheric velocity



- Power law evolution once nickel heating is important
- Slightly steeper than previous analytic result
- Does this point to an even earlier explosion time?

#### **Revisiting ASASSN-14lp**

#### Comparison with **EARLY** explosion time



#### **Revisiting ASASSN-14lp**

#### Comparison with LATE explosion time





Difficult to decide between these 2 cases with current data

## Clues from color evolution?



Flatter color evolution indicates more shallow <sup>56</sup>Ni (Note: scaling with peak makes comparison by eye difficult!)

# Conclusions

#### Early light curves are important

- Constrain progenitor radius
- Constrain companion radius
- Measure surface nickel distribution

#### Knowing the time of explosion is critical

- Light curve slope
- Photospheric velocity evolution
- Color evolution

Multiple photometric/spectroscopic observations before ~4 days after explosion is key

## **Future Work**

#### What are the optimum observing strategies?

- What cadence?
- What depth?
- Photometric versus spectroscopic?
- How bad are different explosion time constraints?

#### What else can early light curves illuminate?

- Circumstellar material (from a merger? nova?)
- Non-trivial nickel distributions (double detonation?)
- SNEC will be a key tool (http://stellarcollapse.org/snec)